# MINUTES FOR THE COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

# August 10, 2009

# **DIVISION FIVE**

B211876 Los Angeles County, D.C.F.S. (Not for Publication)

v. S.B.

The judgment is affirmed.

Kriegler, J.

I concur: Mosk, J.

I dissent: Armstrong, Acting P.J. (Opinion)

B207983 Marina Pacific Associates (Not for Publication)

V.

Stuart Hoffman

The judgment is reversed. Appellant(s) to recover costs.

Armstrong, J.

We concur: Turner, P.J.

Kriegler, J.

#### **DIVISION FIVE (continued)**

B211871 People (Not for Publication)

v. R.Z.

The dispositional order is reversed in part. The matter is remanded for a determination under Welfare and Institutions Code section 702 whether the offenses are misdemeanors or felonies, to set the maximum confinement term, and to award predisposition credits. The dispositional order is affirmed in all other respects.

Turner, P.J.

We concur: Mosk, J.

Kriegler, J.

B210520 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Charles Crittendon

The judgment is affirmed.

Armstrong, J.

We concur: Turner, P.J.

Mosk, J.

### **DIVISION SIX**

B212534 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Frank Herrera

The judgment is affirmed.

Yegan, J.

We concur: Gilbert, P.J.

Perren, J.

## **DIVISION SIX (continued)**

B206375 Jensen Trucking Service, Inc. (Not for Publication)

v.

Bulk or Liquid Transport, et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Costs on appeal are awarded in favor of

respondents.

Gilbert, P.J.

We concur: Coffee, J.

Perren, J.

## **DIVISION SEVEN**

B213006 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Pimentel

The judgment is affirmed.

Woods, J.

We concur: Perluss, P.J.

Zelon, J.

B193831 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Lawrence

The judgment is affirmed.

Perluss, P.J.

We concur: Woods, J.

Zelon, J.

#### **DIVISION SEVEN (continued)**

B204207 Levy (Not for Publication)

v.

American Film Institute

The judgment is reversed. On remand the trial court shall enter a new order denying AFI's motion for summary judgment, denying its alternative motion for summary adjudication as to Levy's second, third, fourth and seventh causes of action relating to wage and hour violations and Business and Professions Code section 17200 and granting AFI's alternative motion for summary adjudication as to Levy's first, fifth, sixth, eighth and ninth causes of action. The court shall conduct further proceedings not inconsistent with this opinion. Each party is to bear her and its own costs on appeal.

Perluss, P.J.

We concur: Zelon, J.

Jackson, J.

B212302 Wells Fargo Bank, N. A.,

v. Burk

Filed order denying petition for rehearing.

#### **DIVISION EIGHT**

B207040 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Henderson

The judgment is affirmed.

Bauer, J. (Assigned)

We concur: Flier, Acting P.J.

Bigelow, J.

#### **DIVISION EIGHT (continued)**

B204958 Polinger (Not for Publication)

v.

Delta Air Lines, Inc., et al

The judgment in favor of Telair International, Inc. and The Boeing Company are affirmed. The judgment in favor of Delta Air Lines, Inc. is reversed and the cause is remanded to the trial court with instructions to vacate its order granting Delta's motion for summary judgment and enter a new order denying the motion. Telair and Boeing are to recover their costs on appeal. Polinger shall recover the costs of his appeal of the judgment in favor of Delta.

Bauer, J. (Assigned)

We concur: Flier, Acting P.J. Bigelow, J.

B206426 Colocho, a minor, etc., et al., (Not for Publication)

V.

Los Angeles Unified School District

The judgment is affirmed.

Bigelow, J.

I concur: Bauer, J. (Assigned)

I concur: Rubin, Acting P.J. (opinion)

#### DIVISION EIGHT (continued)

B210525 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Padron

The judgment is reversed and remanded in part. The trial court is directed to conduct an in camera inspection of the requested peace officers' personnel records. If the trial court's inspection on remand reveals no relevant information, the trial court is directed to reinstate the judgment of conviction and sentence. If the inspection reveals relevant information, the trial court must order disclosure, allow Padron an opportunity to demonstrate prejudice, and order a new trial if there is a reasonable probability the outcome would have been different had the information been disclosed. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed.

Bigelow, J.

We concur: Rubin, Acting P.J.

Flier, J.

B210897 Williams

v.

Southern California Gas Company

Filed order modifying opinion (no change in judgment) and certifying opinion for publication.